

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,565	05/31/2006	Gianmarco Polotti	LSP-1012US	2000	
87627 Mossman, Kur	7590 04/19/201 nar & Tyler PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 4212	39	GREENE, IVAN A			
Houston, TX 7	7242		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1619		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/19/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@mktlaw.us.com tthigpen@mktlaw.us.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,565	POLOTTI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
IVAN GREENE	1619	

	TVAIT GITELINE	1015	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DT0) 00 ()
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pror The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
	/CHERIE M WOODWA Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 04/04/2011 have been fully considered but are not sufficiently convincing to overcome the prima facie case of obviousness because way en based up no process limitations but the instantly rejected claims are composition claims, and applicant has not suggested a structural difference which results from the process limitations as a distinguishing characteristic over the cited prior art. Applicants's argument that is not obvious to combine the teaching of CHAUDHRY to an acrylic polymer comprising a hydrophobic monomer (p. 6, lines 2-3), is acknowledged. In response the examiner argues that a person having ordinary skill in the art would well understand that an emulsion has a hydrophobic component and a hydrophilic component the two components being in intimate contact. Thus a monomer in one phase can react with a monomer in another phase at the interface of the two phases which are in intimate contact. Additionally polymers are known to have oblinydrophobic and hydrophilic character in different proportions (i.e. amphibilic polymers) and where the hydrophobic component is a smaller proportion the resulting polymer, while being amphibilic, would maintain considerable hydrophilic character. A person having amphibilic and under maintain considerable hydrophilic character. A person having amphibilic polymer would arbitate no solver an amphibilic polymer.